

Journal of Agriculture, Food and Environment (JAFE) Journal Homepage: <u>http://journal.safebd.org/index.php/jafe</u>

http://doi.org/10.47440/JAFE.2020.1303



## **Original** Article

# Effects of modified atmosphere packaging (MAP) and natural edible coatings on controlling postharvest fungal infection, shelf life extension and quality retention of strawberry (*Fragaria* × *ananassa* Duch.)

# M. H. A. Rashid<sup>\*</sup> and M. A. Rahman

Department of Horticulture, Faculty of Agriculture, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh 2202, Bangladesh

## **Article History**

Received: 21 June 2020

Revised: 11 July 2020

Accepted: 15 July 2020

Published online: 06 September 2020

#### \*Corresponding Author

Md. Harun Ar Rashid, Department of Horticulture, Faculty of Agriculture, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh 2202, Bangladesh, E-mail: Email: harun\_hort@bau.edu.bd

### **Keywords**

Strawberry, MAP, aloe vera, garlic, chitosan, fungus, shelf life, quality

## ABSTRACT

Strawberry is a nutritious but highly perishable fruit, which require appropriate technology to maintain postharvest quality. Hence, an experiment was conducted to develop a safe technology for controlling postharvest fungal infection, shelf life extension and quality retention of strawberry using modified atmosphere packaging (MAP) and natural edible coatings. Two factor experiment comprised three MAP viz. control (without packaging), low-density perforated polyethylene (LDPPE) and low-density perforated plastic box (LDPPB); and four natural edible coatings viz. control (no treatment), aloe vera @ 1%, garlic @ 1:1 and chitosan coating @ 0.2%, was conducted in completely randomized design with 3 replications. MAP and natural edible coatings were significant on all the parameters studied. Results revealed that combined treatment of LDPPB along with edible garlic coatings showed best external appearance among the treatments. The maximum weight loss (38.53%) and TSS content (8.23%) were recorded in without packaging plus control, while the minimum weight loss (21.17%) and TSS content (6.40%) were found with LDPPB plus garlic extract. Maximum disease incidence (90%) and severity (46.33%) was found in control fruits, while minimum disease incidence (30.0%) and severity (6.37%) was recorded in combined treatment of LDPPB and garlic coatings. The shortest shelf life was found from control treatment, whereas the longest shelf life (6.36 days) was obtained from combined treatment of LDPPB and garlic coatings. Therefore, combined treatment of LDPPB along with edible garlic coatings was found to be better in respect of significantly reducing postharvest fungal infection, shelf life prolongation and quality retention of strawberry.

### © Society of Agriculture, Food and Environment (SAFE)

#### Introduction

Strawberry (*Fragaria* × *ananassa* Duch.) is a very famous and highly appreciated fruit worldwide not only for its unique taste, distinct flavour, deliciousness and attractive colour, but also for its health benefits (Petriccione *et al.*, 2015). The edible portion of the fruit is about 98% and contains various nutritionally important elements such as minerals and vitamins, and a diverse range of anthocyanins, flavonoids and phenolic acids with biological properties, such as antioxidant, anticancer and anti-inflammatory activities (Ayala-Zavala *et al.*, 2004; Seeram *et al.*, 2006). Strawberry is a highly perishable fruits with a very short shelf life because of high respiration rate (50-100 ml CO2 per kg of fruits per hour at 20 °C) and relatively high postharvest losses (20-50%) due to infection by several pathogens during transport and storage, mechanical injury, physiological deterioration and water loss, which limit its popularity among the traders (Guerreiro *et al.*, 2015; Nunes *et al.*, 2006). About 20-50 per cent fruit loss occurs as post-harvest decay in strawberry depending upon harvesting month, fruit maturity, transportation distance and method of packaging (Mingchi and Kojimo, 2005).

Many preservation methods have been used to extend the shelf life and improve the quality of strawberry such as refrigeration, synthetic chemical fungicides, osmotic treatments, hypobaric treatments, heat treatment, controlled atmospheres and gamma irradiation (Bhat and Stamminger, 2015; Castello *et al.*, 2010; Marina *et al.*, 2015; Peerzada *et al.*, 2012; Wang and Gao, 2013; Zhu and Zhou, 2007). However, consumers demand more natural, environmentally friendly food, with high quality and an extended shelf life, without any chemical preservatives (Lin and Zhao, 2007). Therefore, it is necessary to explore and utilize new techniques for maintaining postharvest quality of strawberry fruit.

Modified atmosphere packaging (MAP) using different films and boxes can be one of the best and low cost technology to have a better shelf life with proper quality for a soft fruit like strawberry, making the product more attractive to the retail customer (Panda et al., 2016). Modified atmosphere means an atmosphere composition around the fruit that is different from that of normal air i.e., 78.08% N2, 20.95% O2 and 0.03% CO2 (Kader, 1992). Such change in the gaseous atmosphere can be attributed to the factors like respiration and other biochemical processes of the produce and permeation of gases through the packaging film. It slows down the growth of aerobic microbes and speed of oxidation reactions. A well-known benefit of MAP is to reduce high water loss by creating high humidity inside the packaging and with that the produce maintains freshness comparatively for a longer period. However, good hygiene practices and temperature control throughout the chill-chain for perishable products are required to maintain the quality benefits and extended shelf life of MAP foods.

Edible coatings such as aloe vera, ethanolic extracts of garlic cloves, chitosan, etc. have been used as novel promising approach for the preservation and extending shelf life of fruits and vegetables (Neeta et al., 2013; Nur Fatima et al., 2018; Mondal et al., 2011; Sharmin et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2016). Edible coating with semipermeable films can prolong the postharvest life and improve textural quality of strawberry fruits which helps retain volatile flavor compounds and reduce microbial growth through a reduction of moisture, gas exchange (oxygen and carbon dioxide), respiration and oxidative reaction (Lee et al., 2003; Pillai et al., 2009; Shiekh, 2013; Velickova, et al., 2013). Chitosan-based coatings are considered the best edible and biologically safe preservative coatings for different types of fruits, with functional advantages, such as slower respiration rates, extended storage periods, firmness retention and controlled microbial growth (Fan et al., 2009; Romanazzi, et al., 2015).

Strawberry is a quick growing and exotic fruit in Bangladesh and suitable for adaptation in our cropping pattern. Recently, strawberry production in Bangladesh has been increased, however, very few researches have been conducted on the combined application of modified atmosphere packaging (MAP) and natural edible coatings on the reduction of postharvest losses and quality retention capacity of strawberry. The present study was, therefore, undertaken to develop an appropriate safe technology using MAP and natural edible coatings to reduce postharvest fungal infection, shelf life prolongation and quality retention of strawberry cv. RU-1 (Festival).

#### Materials and Methods Experimental location and material

The present study was conducted to study the effects of modified atmosphere packaging (MAP) and natural edible coatings on controlling postharvest fungal infection, shelf life extension and quality retention of strawberry cv. RU-1 (Festival) at the Laboratories of the Departments of Horticulture and Agricultural Chemistry, Bangladesh Agricultural University (BAU), Mymensingh during the period from February to April 2019. Strawberry fruits were collected from the Landscaping section of BAU. Medium sized fruits of straw-



berry cv. RU-1 (Festival) were harvested at approximately 75 per cent colour development stage in early morning hours (6-8 am). The collected strawberry fruits were uniform in shape, size, weight (average 10-12 g) and without visible imperfections or quality defects. About 150 g fruits were initially packed in plastic punnets with due care to minimize the chances of injury were kept under ambient temperature  $(25 \pm 1^{\circ}C)$  prior to further treatments.

# Treatments of the investigation and experimental design

The two-factor experiment consisted of three MAP viz., (i) P0= Control (Without packaging), (ii) P1= Low-density perforated polyethylene (LDPPE) and (iii) P1= Low-density perforated plastic box (LDPPB); and four natural edible coatings viz., (i) T0= Control (No treatment), (ii) T1= Aloe vera extract @ 1%, (iii) T2= Garlic extract @ 1:1 and (iv) T3= Chitosan coating @ 0.2%. The experiment was conducted in a completely randomized design with 3 replications.

# Application of the natural postharvest treatments

The postharvest treatments were sequentially applied to the selected strawberry fruits, which were dipped in each natural edible coating solutions for 2 minutes, air-dried and then kept under ambient temperature  $(25 \pm 1^{\circ}C)$  using different MAP. For control treatment ten fruits under each treatment were selected randomly from a strawberry fruit lot, washed with distilled water, air-dried. The fruits were kept at 40,000cc/m<sup>2</sup> day atm oxygen transmission rate (OTR) packaging film as a MAP with 85% relative humidity and stored under ambient temperature ( $25 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C) to measure the fruit quality and shelf life (Islam et al., 2017). For aloe vera coating (T1), the selected fruits were dipped in 1% aloe vera solution for 2 minutes. Aloe vera gel was extracted from fresh aloe vera leaves and gel solution was prepared as described by Sharmin et al. (2015). For garlic treatment (T2), initially stock garlic extract (1 kg garlic cloves and 1 L water) was prepared by crushing the fresh cloves in distilled water using a blender through straining and then cheesed. The stock extract was then used to prepare treatment of 1:1 concentration. For chitosan coating (T3) the selected strawberry fruits were dipped at 0.2% chitosan solution for 2 minutes and air-dried. Chitosan solution (0.2%) was prepared by dissolving 0.2 g of chitosan in 90 mL of distilled water added with 2 mL of glacial acetic acid. The mixture was heated with continuous stirring for proper dissolution of chitosan. The final pH of the solution was adjusted to 5.6 with 2 N NaOH and made up to 100 mL with distilled water. After application of all the treatments, fruits were wrapped with the respective MAP and kept at  $25 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C and all changes of the fruits were monitored every day started from 0 to 4 days of storage.

# Parameters studied

# External appearance

Changes in external appearance of strawberry fruits were carefully observed, visually examined and recorded at every day starting from 1st day up to 4th day of storage through scoring using a colour chart.

# Fruit firmness

Firmness of strawberry fruit was measured by Fruit Penetrometer (Model PX-145, Panomex Inc.). The Fruit Penetrometer accurately measures fruit hardness by measuring the force required to push a plunger tip (of a certain size) into strawberry fruit. The instrument was equipped with a 3.5 mm pressure head that had 10 mm insertion depth of pressure head. Weight loss of strawberry was measured by weighing the fruits every day using a top pan electric balance. Ten fruits per treatment were taken for this purpose and same fruits were used until the end of the experiment. The percentage of weight loss was calculated by using the following formula:

% Weight loss = 
$$\frac{W_1 - W_2}{W_1} \times 100$$

Where, W1 = Initial weight of fruit (0 days) W2 = Fruits weight at various storage periods (0, 1, 2, 3, and 4 days)

#### Fruit juice pH

The pH of fruit juice was measured by using a Portable pH Meter (Model pHS-1701, Shanghai, China), which was standardized with the help of a buffer solution as described by Ranganna (1994).

#### Total soluble solids (TSS)

Total soluble solids concentration of strawberry fruit was determined by using a hand refractometer (Model N-1  $\alpha$ , Atago, Japan). The remaining juice from pH determination was used to measure the TSS of the fruit juice. Before measurement, the refractometer was calibrated with distilled water to give a zero reading. One or two drops of the filtrate were placed on the prism of the refractometer to obtain %TSS reading. The reading was multiplied by dilution factor to obtain an original %TSS of the fruit tissues. Since differences in sample temperature could affect the TSS measurement, temperature corrections were made by using the methods described by Ranganna (1994).

# Titratable acidity (TA) and ascorbic acid (Vitamin C) contents

Using the filtrate prepared to determine TSS, titratable acidity of strawberry juice was determined by titration against 0.1 N sodium hydroxide. Ascorbic acid (vitamin C) was measured by 2,6-dichlorophenol-indophenol titration as described by Ranganna (1994).

#### Determination of reducing sugar

Reducing sugar content of strawberry juice was determined by Dinitrosalicylic acid method (Miller, 1972).

% Reducing sugar (g/100 g of sample) =Amount of sugar obtained

## Estimation of non-reducing sugar

Non-reducing sugar content of strawberry juice was estimated by using the following formula:

%	Reducing	sugar	(g/100	g	of	sample)	=
Am	ount of sugar obt	$\frac{\text{tained}}{1} \times 1$	00				
	W7 1 4 C	1					

Weight of sample

#### Disease incidence (percentage of infected fruits)

Ten fruits for each treatment were critically examined every day for the appearance of the disease symptoms and the incidence was recorded. The first count was made at the 1st day of storage. The disease development was identified by the visual quality, which was observed on the scale of 1 to 5 (1 = very bad, 2 = bad, 3 = good, marketable, 4 = very good, and 5 = excellent) (Islam *et al.*, 2017). A total of three fungal



diseases like Grey mould, Rhizopus soft rot and Leather rot were identified by observing the typical symptoms of those fungal diseases which were caused by Botrytis cinerea, Rhizopus stolonifer and Phytophthora cactorum, respectively (Mass, 20). Number of fungus-contaminated strawberry was counted and they were converted to fungal incidence percentage by the following formula:

% Disease incidence =  $\frac{\text{Number of infected fruits}}{\text{Total number of fruits}} \times 100$ 

# Disease severity (percentage of skin infected fruits by fungal diseases)

In order to measure disease severity level, the strawberry fruits were critically observed and the percent skin infected fruits was recorded every day starting from the 1st day of storage up to the 4th day. All the infected fruits were taken to determine the percent fruit area infected and carefully evaluated. This evaluation was determined by centimeter scale by calculating the mean values regarding the infected fruit areas.

#### Shelf life

Shelf life of fruits means the days required for fully ripe as to retaining optimum marketing and eating qualities. In order to determine the shelf life, ten fruits were taken for each treatment and then the treated fruits were kept under ambient temperature ( $25 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C). Shelf life was measured according to visual quality ( $\geq 3$ ; good, marketable) and determinants such as mold growth, decay, shriveling, smoothness, shininess, and homogeneity (Islam *et al.*, 2017).

#### Statistical analysis

The collected data on various parameters were analysed statistically using MSTAT computer programme. The means for all the treatments were calculated and analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed by F-test. The mean difference between a pair of treatments was tested by least significant difference (LSD) at 5 and 1% levels of probability.

# Results and Discussions

## **External appearance**

The change in external appearance was noticeable due to MAP and natural edible coating treatments (Figure 1a-e). Peano et al. (2014) reported that various edible coatings films are able to create MAP storage condition maintaining along all the storage time gas values different from the normal atmosphere composition (20.8% O2 and 0.03% CO2). The results showed that the external changes in fruits of control and single treatments were observed earlier than the combined treatments under various MAPs. In the control, protoplast was changed into chromoplast normally, while in treated samples, this process was suppressed by the treatment effect (Rashid et al., 2019). The changes of these components affected the fruit colour from slight red into deep red in the skin. The results revealed that combined treatment of LDPPB along with edible garlic coatings (P2T2) showed better external appearance than those of the other treatments.

#### **Fruit firmness**

Firmness is an important physical parameter used to assess the quality of fruits during ripeness, storage and distribution (Pasquariello *et al.*, 2013). At harvest, strawberry cultivars showed different firmness values that could be due to different lignin content. Statistically significant variation was observed between the MAP and natural edible coatings in reference to strawberry fruit firmness (Table 1). Fruit firmness was decreased gradually with the storage period irrespective of different packaging and coating treatments, and at 4 DAS, LDPPB plus garlic coating (P2T2) followed by 3.62% in LDPPB plus aloe vera coating (P2T1) was most effective (3.94%) in maintaining the firmness of strawberry fruits, while the lowest fruit firmness (2.25%) was recorded under control (P0T0). The previous results reported the beneficial effects of several MAP and coating applications, and indicated that natural edible coating treatments significantly inhibited the softening of strawberry fruit resulting from the degradation of the middle lamella of the cell wall of cortical parenchyma cells (Perkins-Viaziel, 1995). At the end of the storage period, strawberry lost firmness, but LDPPB plus edible-coated fruits remained still much firmer than the control in which firmness preservation was the criteria used by the authors to explain the delay of ripening support this result, since red colour developed normally.



Figure 1a. Strawberry fruits at 0 DAS



Figure 1b. Strawberry fruits at 1 DAS



Figure 1c. Strawberry fruits at 2 DAS



Figure 1d. Strawberry fruits at 3 DAS



Figure 1e. Strawberry fruits at 4 DAS

Figure 1. Photograph showing the differences in external appearance of strawberry fruits at various days after storage (DAS) under different MAP and natural edible coating treatments.  $P_0$ = Control (Without packaging),  $P_1$ = Low-density perforated polyethylene (LDPPE),  $P_1$ = Low-density perforated plastic box (LDPPB),  $T_0$ = Control (No treatment),  $T_1$ = Aloe vera @ 1%,  $T_2$ = Garlic @ 1:1, and  $T_3$ = Chitosan coating @ 0.2%.





Table 1. Combined effects of MAP and natural edible coatings on weight loss and firmness of strawberry at different days after storage (DAS).

Treatment	Frui	t firm	ness (%	6) at	Weight loss (%) at				
combination	different DAS				different DAS				
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
$P_0T_0$	4.17	3.67	3.08	2.25	7.25	15.12	31.24	38.53	
$P_0T_1$	4.56	4.11	3.52	2.91	5.35	13.68	24.50	33.52	
$P_0T_2$	4.72	3.65	3.84	3.12	5.23	12.26	22.47	30.00	
$P_0T_3$	4.37	4.10	3.68	2.96	5.59	12.30	23.17	31.61	
$P_1T_0$	4.17	3.79	3.20	2.74	6.81	13.61	29.44	34.52	
$P_1T_1$	4.90	4.37	3.81	3.40	5.22	13.10	25.18	30.61	
$P_1T_2$	5.14	4.66	4.28	3.73	5.14	12.16	20.54	25.85	
$P_1T_3$	4.93	4.47	4.04	3.56	5.47	12.42	21.50	27.35	
$P_2T_0$	4.17	3.82	3.22	2.56	6.20	10.18	19.42	31.49	
$P_2T_1$	5.32	4.84	4.26	3.62	5.12	9.89	23.84	26.27	
$P_2T_2$	5.74	5.32	4.74	3.94	4.35	9.18	16.20	21.17	
$P_2T_3$	4.88	4.43	3.89	3.40	4.32	9.44	19.06	23.53	
LSD <sub>0.05</sub>	0.160	0.341	0.151	0.199	0.266	0.399	1.086	0.843	
LSD <sub>0.01</sub>	0.217	0.462	0.204	0.270	0.361	0.540	1.471	1.142	
Level of significance	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	*	

\*\*, \*= Significant at 1 and 5% levels of probability, respectively,  $P_0$ = Control (Without packaging),  $P_1$ = Low-density perforated polyethylene (LDPPE),  $P_2$ = Low-density perforated plastic box (LDPPB),  $T_0$ = Control (No treatment),  $T_1$ = Aloe vera @ 1%,  $T_2$ = Garlic @ 1:1, and  $T_3$ = Chitosan coating @ 0.2%.

#### Weight loss

Weight loss in fresh fruits is mainly attributed to the loss of water caused by transpiration and respiration processes and is a major cause of quality deterioration (Hernández-Muñoz, et al., 2006). All strawberries showed a gradual loss of weight during storage and it was significantly influenced by combined effect of MAP and natural edible coating treatments (Table 1). Maximum weight loss was recorded with without packaging plus control in  $P_0T_0$  (38.53%) followed by LDPPE plus control in  $P_1T_0$  (34.52%), while minimum weight loss was found with LDPPB plus garlic extract in P<sub>2</sub>T<sub>2</sub> (21.17%) followed by LDPPB plus chitosan coating  $P_2T_3$  (23.53%). This might be due to the packaging films alter the  $CO_2$  and  $O_2$  concentration inside the packages hence, in a high respiring fruit like strawberry the respiration rate is reduced by keeping in low O<sub>2</sub> and/or high CO<sub>2</sub> atmosphere (Li and Kader, 1989). Panda et al. (2016) reported the fruits wrapped in different packaging films retain better quality for longer duration compared to the unwrapped fruits. Strawberry fruits are highly susceptible to a rapid loss of water due to the extremely thin skins of these fruits. These results are also consistent with those of previous studies demonstrating that chitosan coating acts as a semipermeable barrier against oxygen, carbon dioxide and moisture, thereby reducing respiration and water loss and counteracting the dehydration and shrinkage of the fruit (Veliockova et al., 2013).

## Fruit juice pH

Combined effects of MAP and natural edible coating treatments exhibited significant variation in respect of juice pH during storage of strawberry. Results showed that the pH of strawberries decreased during storage and at 4 DAS, the highest juice pH was observed with no packaging plus chitosan coating in  $P_0T_3$  (5.17) followed by without packaging plus garlic extract in  $P_0T_2$  (4.27) (Table 2). In contrast, the lowest juice pH was recorded from LDPPB plus control in  $P_2T_0$  (3.33) followed by without packaging plus control in  $P_0T_0$  (3.57). The result was still



Table 2. Combined effects of MAP and natural edible coatings on juice pH and TSS content of strawberry at different days after storage (DAS).

Treatment	Fruit	juice p	pH at d	liffer-	TSS content (% brix) at					
combination		ent DAS				different DAS				
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4		
$P_0T_0$	6.83	5.73	4.43	3.57	3.67	4.20	6.40	8.23		
$P_0T_1$	6.53	6.23	5.30	4.27	3.63	4.40	6.27	7.47		
$P_0T_2$	6.70	6.17	5.13	4.27	2.27	3.97	5.87	7.03		
P <sub>0</sub> T <sub>3</sub>	6.67	6.43	5.70	5.17	2.53	3.20	5.83	7.70		
$P_1T_0$	6.90	6.27	4.77	3.53	3.80	5.10	6.77	8.13		
$P_1T_1$	6.77	6.50	5.70	4.20	3.20	4.27	6.20	7.73		
$P_1T_2$	6.70	6.43	4.17	3.70	3.23	4.23	6.17	7.20		
$P_1T_3$	6.70	6.37	4.80	4.33	3.73	4.40	6.13	7.30		
$P_2T_0$	6.83	5.63	4.10	3.33	3.40	4.20	6.17	7.87		
$P_2T_1$	6.73	5.70	4.20	3.87	3.80	4.90	6.67	7.67		
$P_2T_2$	6.73	6.10	4.37	4.10	2.43	3.13	5.37	6.40		
$P_2T_3$	6.77	6.27	5.20	4.23	3.20	4.43	6.20	7.30		
LSD <sub>0.05</sub>	0.092	0.226	0.213	0.185	0.443	0.584	0.282	0.436		
LSD <sub>0.01</sub>	0.125	0.306	0.289	0.250	0.600	0.791	0.382	0.591		
Level of significance	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	*		

\*\*, \*= Significant at 1 and 5% levels of probability, respectively, P<sub>0</sub>= Control (Without packaging), P<sub>1</sub>= Low-density perforated polyethylene (LDPPE), P<sub>2</sub>= Low-density perforated plastic box (LDPPB), T<sub>0</sub>= Control (No treatment), T<sub>1</sub>= Aloe vera @ 1%, T<sub>2</sub>= Garlic @ 1:1, and T<sub>3</sub>= Chitosan coating @ 0.2%.

#### Total soluble solids (TSS) content

The TSS of strawberry fruits packed in different packaging materials and coated with various natural edible extracts exhibited significant variation (Table 2). It was observed that TSS content in fruit juice gradually increased during storage period irrespective of all treatments, which was similar to the investigation of Panda et al. (2016) and Petriccione et al. (2015) where the authors reported that uncoated and no packaging fruits exhibited significantly (p < 0.05) higher TSS compared to all other edible-coated fruits. At 4 DAS, the highest TSS (8.23%) was observed in the treatment combination of without packaging plus control in  $P_0T_0$  followed by LDPPE plus control in  $P_1T_0$  (8.13%), whereas it was the lowest with LDPPB plus garlic extract in P<sub>2</sub>T<sub>2</sub> (6.40%) followed by without packaging plus garlic extract in P<sub>0</sub>T<sub>2</sub> (7.03%). Similarly, Magazine et al. (2015) and Li and Kader (1989) also reported, strawberry fruits packed in LDPE in room storage retain the TSS percent compared to the other packaging material. Strawberries are considered mature with approximately 7% of soluble solids (Kader, 1999). The increase in TSS values probably not due to conversion of starch to sugars, since strawberries accumulate very little starch, but due to solubilization of cell wall pectin as showed by the increases in anthocyanin and results are consistent with those of other studies concerning the effects of chitosan-coated treatment on mango, guava, banana, papaya, guava and sweet cherry (Ali et al., 2011; Hong et al., 2012; Kittur et al., 2001; Petriccione et al., 2015).

## Titratable acidity (TA) content and ascorbic acid (vitamin C) content

The TA estimates the organic acid contents of fleshy fruits, and in strawberry fruit, the main organic acids are citric and malic acid (Kallio *et al.*, 2000). This trait is an important component of fruit organoleptic quality and is different in

each cultivar. Results revealed that titratable acidity of strawberry fruits packed in different MAPs went on decreasing with the advancement of storage period (Table 3). Significant variation in TA of fruits packed with different MAPs and treated with various natural coatings was found. At the end of storage (4 DAS) the highest TA (4.90%) was recorded from without MAP plus aloe vera extract in  $P_0T_1$  which was statistically identical with no MAP plus garlic extract (4.70%) in P<sub>0</sub>T<sub>2</sub>, while the lowest TA (2.63%) was observed from LDPPE plus aloe vera extract in  $P_1T_1$  followed by without MAP plus chitosan coating (3.02%) in P<sub>0</sub>T<sub>3</sub>. Such reduction in acidity might be due to the utilisation of different free acids present in the vacuole of cells during various metabolic processes like respiration and anthocyanin biosynthesis (Panda et al., 2016). Previous studies have suggested that the higher acidity loss in uncoated fruits might reflect the use of organic acids as substrates for respiratory metabolism during storage (Diaz-Mula et al., 2012; Diaz-Mula et al., 2009). Chitosan treatment plays an important role in delaying fruit ripening during cold storage, and chitosan-coated fruits showed a lower acidity loss, consistent with other studies on strawberry, peach, guava and litchi (Hernandez-Munoz et al., 2008; Li and Yu, 2001; Dong et al., 2004).

Rashid and Rahman, 2020 The combined treatment of MAP and natural edible coating treatments showed significant influence on vitamin C content of strawberry (Table 3). At 4 DAS the highest vitamin C (126.13 mg/100g) was observed in combined treatment of LDPPB plus garlic coated fruits  $(P_2T_2)$  followed by 120.10 mg/100g in LDPPE plus garlic coated fruits ( $P_1T_2$ ), while the lowest vitamin C (83.97 mg/100g) was recorded in without MAP plus uncoated fruits ( $P_0T_0$ ) followed by 41.40 mg/100g in LDPPE plus uncoated control  $(M_2T_0)$ . Such results might be attributed to better modification of the atmosphere inside the packages by these materials with respect to the  $O_2$  concentration and concomitant decrease in enzymatic oxidation of ascorbic acid (Agrahari et al., 2001). With the advancement of storage periods, the ascorbic acid content was decreased significantly, which might be due to the oxidation and irreversible conversion of ascorbic acid to dehydroascorbic acid in the presence of enzyme ascorbinase. Similar decreasing trend in ascorbic acid content was also obtained by Kirad et al. (2007), where the authors reported that polysaccharide and chitosan-coated fruits possessed relatively higher ascorbic acid contents than control which might reflect low oxygen permeability, which reduced the activities of enzymes involved in the oxidation of ascorbic acid.

Table 3. Combined effects of MAP and natural edible coatings on titratable acidity and vitamin C content of strawberry at different days after storage (DAS).

Treatment combination	Titratal	ble acidity (	%) at differe	ent DAS	Vita	min C (mg/100	g) at different <b>D</b>	DAS
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
$P_0T_0$	11.25	9.34	6.18	4.05	177.33	157.80	128.90	83.97
$P_0T_1$	9.44	7.24	6.70	4.90	190.33	180.77	140.30	101.27
$P_0T_2$	8.56	7.08	6.50	4.70	203.30	189.80	147.60	109.80
$P_0T_3$	10.00	8.55	5.51	3.02	184.67	172.43	138.10	97.67
$P_1T_0$	10.91	9.10	6.07	3.70	184.23	162.73	130.63	98.37
$P_1T_1$	8.87	7.20	4.97	2.63	195.60	181.63	142.30	109.20
$P_1T_2$	8.10	6.93	4.70	3.70	215.27	196.40	153.03	120.10
$P_1T_3$	9.49	8.12	6.65	3.86	200.60	177.46	143.03	103.43
$P_2T_0$	10.31	8.69	7.24	4.25	195.20	172.73	137.67	106.37
$P_2T_1$	8.53	6.90	4.90	3.80	208.17	187.33	151.17	119.03
$P_2T_2$	7.75	6.48	4.48	3.20	223.07	203.20	165.50	126.13
$P_2T_3$	9.03	7.67	5.78	3.62	203.07	183.17	143.03	113.43
$LSD_{0.05}$	0.075	0.092	0.388	0.250	4.89	1.91	4.94	1.63
LSD <sub>0.01</sub>	0.102	0.125	0.526	0.339	6.62	2.59	6.70	2.20
Level of significance	**	**	**	**	*	**	**	**

\*\*, \*= Significant at 1 and 5% levels of probability, respectively,  $P_0$ = Control (Without packaging),  $P_1$ = Low-density perforated polyethylene (LDPPE),  $P_2$ = Low-density perforated plastic box (LDPPB),  $T_0$ = Control (No treatment),  $T_1$ = Aloe vera @ 1%,  $T_2$ = Garlic @ 1:1, and  $T_3$ = Chitosan coating @ 0.2%.

#### Reducing and non-reducing sugar contents

Glucose, fructose and sucrose represent the main soluble metabolites in the fruits (Makinen and Soderling, 1980). Combined effect of MAP and natural edible coating treatments showed significant result in terms of both reducing sugar content of strawberry fruits. Reducing sugar content was increased gradually during the storage period (Table 4). At the end of the storage period (4 DAS) the maximum reducing sugar (4.27%) was found from without MAP plus aloe vera coated fruits  $(P_0T_1)$  followed by 4.13% in LDPPE plus garlic extracts, while the minimum reducing sugar (3.50%) was recorded in LDPPB plus garlic coated fruits  $(P_2T_2)$  followed by 3.51% in without MAP plus chitosan coated fruits  $(P_0T_3)$ . Similar result was also reported by Peano et al. (2014). It was also found the significant influence of MAP and natural edible coatings on non-reducing sugar content of strawberry fruits (Table 4). The highest non-reducing sugar (2.70%) was observed in the combination of LDPPB

plus garlic coating ( $P_2T_2$ ), while the lowest non-reducing sugar (2.15%) was recorded from the combination of LDPPE plus garlic coated fruits (( $P_1T_2$ ). The result showed that nonreducing sugar content of strawberry fruits slightly increased with ripening. The non-reducing sugar content, remain more or less constant, after attaining peak, it was increased rapidly along with reducing sugar content during the first 1-2 days of storage and then leveled off as reported by Joshi and Roy (1988).



Table 4. Combined effects of MAP and natural edible coatings on reducing and non-reducing sugar content of strawberry at different days after storage (DAS).

Treatment	Redu	ucing s	ugar (%	Non-reducing sugar				
combination		differe	(%) at different DAS					
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
$P_0T_0$	3.30	3.36	3.42	3.54	2.19	2.21	2.25	2.31
$P_0T_1$	3.25	3.38	3.42	4.27	2.15	2.18	2.20	2.23
$P_0T_2$	3.29	3.42	3.46	3.52	2.15	2.17	2.20	2.22
$P_0T_3$	3.28	3.37	3.40	3.51	2.12	2.15	2.17	2.18
$P_1T_0$	3.41	3.46	4.18	3.62	2.14	2.16	2.18	2.20
$P_1T_1$	3.54	3.62	3.71	3.87	2.07	2.11	2.14	2.16
$P_1T_2$	3.51	3.65	4.00	4.13	2.12	2.13	2.14	2.15
$P_1T_3$	3.43	3.52	3.61	3.71	2.10	2.13	2.15	2.16
$P_2T_0$	3.41	3.47	3.53	3.62	2.11	2.14	2.15	2.18
$P_2T_1$	3.37	3.42	3.50	3.54	2.06	2.10	2.12	2.18
$P_2T_2$	3.24	3.30	3.38	3.50	2.25	2.37	2.54	2.70
$P_2T_3$	3.38	3.44	3.53	3.60	2.12	2.14	2.16	2.18
LSD <sub>0.05</sub>	0.053	0.053	0.107	0.169	0.053	0.053	0.092	0.107
LSD <sub>0.01</sub>	0.072	0.072	0.144	0.228	0.072	0.072	0.125	0.144
Level of significance	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**

\*\*, \*= Significant at 1 and 5% levels of probability, respectively, P<sub>0</sub>= Control (Without packaging), P<sub>1</sub>= Low-density perforated polyethylene (LDPPE), P<sub>2</sub>= Low-density perforated plastic box (LDPPB), T<sub>0</sub>= Control (No treatment), T<sub>1</sub>= Aloe vera @ 1%, T<sub>2</sub>= Garlic @ 1:1, and T<sub>3</sub>= Chitosan coating @ 0.2%.

#### Disease incidence and severity

Strawberry postharvest diseases were observed from the 1<sup>st</sup> DAS and it increased with the progress of storage period. During storage period, a total of three fungal diseases like Leather rot, Grey mould and Rhizopus soft rot were identified by observing the typical symptoms of those fungal diseases which were caused by *Phytophthora cactorum*, *Botrytis cinerea* and *Rhizopus stolonifer*, respectively (Figure 2).



Figure 2. Photograph showing (a) Leathery rot (*Phytophthora cactorum*), (b) Grey Mould (*Botrytis cinerea*) and (c) Rhizopus soft rot (*Rhizopus stolonifer*) of strawberry in control treatment.

#### Assesment of percent disease incidence

The combined effect of MAP and natural edible coating treatments had significant influence on disease incidence of strawberry (Table 5). The disease incidence increased with the storage period and at 4 DAS the highest disease incidence (90%) was found in control ( $P_0T_0$ ) and the lowest (30%) was recorded in LDPPB plus garlic coating ( $P_2T_2$ ). This might be due to the synergistic effects of combined treatments, which could give less detrimental influence to quality attributes of the strawberry fruits.



Treatment	Disea	se incid	ence (	%) at	Disease severity (%) at				
combination	different DAS				different DAS				
	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	
$P_0T_0$	1.67	36.70	63.30	90.00	3.23	10.00	21.73	46.33	
$P_0T_1$	0.00	20.00	33.30	50.00	0.00	5.40	16.00	26.63	
$P_0T_2$	0.00	6.70	23.30	36.70	0.00	1.10	5.50	10.00	
$P_0T_3$	0.00	20.00	30.00	43.30	0.00	4.77	15.83	19.07	
$P_1T_0$	1.33	30.00	50.00	70.00	2.17	8.77	16.80	36.20	
$P_1T_1$	0.00	13.300	33.30	56.70	0.00	5.23	16.27	20.50	
$P_1T_2$	0.00	13.30	26.70	40.00	0.00	2.77	5.30	7.67	
$P_1T_3$	0.00	16.70	23.30	30.00	0.00	4.73	14.73	18.13	
$P_2T_0$	0.00	20.00	46.70	70.00	0.00	6.43	16.90	31.00	
$P_2T_1$	0.00	20.00	33.30	43.30	0.00	4.10	11.83	9.03	
$P_2T_2$	0.00	6.70	20.00	30.00	0.00	3.10	5.17	6.37	
$P_2T_3$	0.00	16.70	26.70	40.00	0.00	3.77	4.90	7.63	
LSD <sub>0.05</sub>	0.169	1.341	2.111	4.416	0.141	0.920	3.134	1.513	
LSD <sub>0.01</sub>	0.228	1.817	2.861	5.985	0.191	1.247	4.247	2.050	
Level of	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	
significance									

\*\*, \*= Significant at 1 and 5% levels of probability, respectively, P<sub>0</sub>= Control (Without packaging), P<sub>1</sub>= Low-density perforated polyethylene (LDPPE), P<sub>2</sub>= Low-density perforated plastic box (LDPPB), T<sub>0</sub>= Control (No treatment), T<sub>1</sub>= Aloe vera @ 1%, T<sub>2</sub>= Garlic @ 1:1, and T<sub>3</sub>= Chitosan coating @ 0.2%.

The combined effect of MAP and natural edible coating treatments had also significant influence on disease severity of strawberry fruits (Table 5). Like disease incidence, the disease development also increased with the storage period and the highest disease severity (46.33%) was found at the end of the storage period (4 DAS) under control packaging and uncoated fruits  $(P_0T_0)$  followed by 36.20% in LDPPE plus uncoated strawberry fruits  $(P_1T_0)$  and the lowest disease severity (6.37%%) was observed from LDPPB plus garlic extract  $(P_2T_2)$  followed by (7.63%) in LDPPB plus chitosan coated fruits  $(P_2T_3)$ . This might be due to the effects of ethanolic extract and fresh garlic cloves and chitosan coating contain biologically natural fungicide substances which are potentially used for the control of many fungal diseases of fruits (Dong et al., 2004; Hernandez-Munoz et al., 2008; Li and Yu, 2001; Mondal et al., 2011; Nur Fatima et al., 2018).

#### Shelf life

Shelf life is the period from harvesting up to the last edible stage. This is the basic quality of fruits, which helps marketing duration, and it is the most important aspect in loss reduction technology of fruits (Mondal et al., 2011; Rashid et al., 2015). The extension of shelf life of fruit has been one of the prime concerns of marketing throughout the record of history. The combined effect of MAP and natural edible coatings had significant influence on shelf life of strawberry (Figure 3). The longest shelf life (6.36 days) was obtained in LDPPB plus gralic coated fruits  $(P_22_4)$  and the shortest shelf life (2.33 days) was recorded in control ( $P_0T_0$ ). The increase in shelf life was probably due to the changes in the concentrations of various gases like the increase of O<sub>2</sub>, the reduction of CO<sub>2</sub> and ethylene as well as the slowing down of the processes leading to delayed ripening and reducing decay by LDPPB and garlic extract treatments. The delay in ripening on MAP and coated fruits can occur due to the lower capacity of these fruits in producing ethylene, since this hormone has a stimulation role in the general metabolism, and seems



to be implicated in the activation and regulation of some enzymes involved in ripening (Gomez *et al.*, 1999).



Figure 3. Combined effects of MAP and natural edible coatings on shelf life of strawberry fruits. The vertical bar represents LSD at 1% level of probability.  $P_0$ = Control (Without packaging),  $P_1$ = Low-density perforated polyethylene (LDPPE),  $P_1$ = Low-density perforated plastic box (LDPPB),  $T_0$ = Control (No treatment),  $T_1$ = Aloe vera @ 1%,  $T_2$ = Garlic @ 1:1, and  $T_3$ = Chitosan coating @ 0.2%.

#### Conclusion

From the present study it was found that strawberry is a highly perishable fruit, which cannot be stored for a longer period but different wrapping treatments along with natural edible coatings maintained the qualitative characteristics of stored fruits at ambient temperature condition. From the day, first onwards the loss in weight of fruits was observed but LDPPB packaging material along with garlic coating proved as the most effective one to control the weight loss. MAP conditions along with edible coating prevented decaying of strawberry fruits up to single day under the ambient condition. The fruit firmness, pH, titratable acidity and ascorbic acid contents ratings decreased in the stored fruit at ambient temperature and spoiled completely after 4th day of storage under without MAP and uncoated fruits. Therefore, it may be concluded that the LDPPB plus garlic extract was found to be the best treatment, which significantly reduce postharvest fungal infection, extend shelf life and retain quality of strawberry fruits.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors are pleased to thank the Ministry of Science and Technology (MOST), Government of the People's Republic of Bangladesh (Project No. 2018/BS-40/MOST) for funding this work.

#### References

- Agrahari PR, Thakur KS, Sharma RM, Tripathi VK, Singh RR (2001). Effects of various packaging treatments and storage atmosphere on storage quality of Chandler strawberry (*Fragaria* × *ananassa* Duch.). Scientia Horticulturae 7:63-74.
- Ali A, Muhammad MTM, Sijam K, Siddiqui Y (2011). Effect of chitosan coatings on the physicochemical characteristics of Eksotika II papaya (*Carica papaya L.*) fruit during cold storage. Food Chemistry 124:620–626.
- Ayala-Zavala JF, Wang SY, Wang CY, Gonzalez-Aguilar GA (2004). Effect of storage temperatures on antioxidant capacity and aroma compounds in strawberry fruit. Leben

Wissenschaft Technol.: Food Science and Technology 37:687-695.

- Bhat R, Stamminger R (2015). Preserving strawberry quality by employing novel food preservation and processing techniques - recent updates and future scope - an overview. Journal of Food Process Engineering 38(6):536– 554.
- Castelló ML, Fito PJ, Chiralt A (2010). Changes in respiration rate and physical properties of strawberries due to osmotic dehydration and storage. Journal of Food Engineering 97:64–71.
- Diaz-Mula HM, Serrano M, Valero D (2012). Alginate coatings preserve fruit quality and bioactive compounds during storage sweet cherry fruit. Food Bioprocess Technology 5:2990–2997.
- Díaz-Mula HM, Zapata PJ, Guillén F, Martínez-Romero D, Castillo S, Serrano M, Valero D (2009). Changes in hydrophilic and lipophilic antioxidant activity and related bioactive compounds during postharvest storage of yellow and purple plum cultivars. Postharvest Biology and Technology 51:354–363.
- Dong H, Cheng L, Tan J, Zheng K, Jiang Y (2004). Effects of chitosan coating on quality and shelf life of peeled litchi fruit. Journal of Food Engineering 64:355–358.
- Elena V, Eleonora W, Slobodanka K, Vitor A, Margarida M (2013). Impact of chitosan-beeswax edible coatings on the quality of fresh strawberries (*Fragaria ananassa* cv. Camarosa) under commercial storage conditions. LWT-Food Science and Technology 52:80-92.
- Fan Y, Ying X, Dongfeng W, Zhang L, Sun J, Sun L, Zhang B (2009). Effect of alginate coating combined with yeast antagonist on strawberry (*Fragaria ananassa*) preservation quality. Postharvest Biology and Technology 53:84– 90.
- Gomez MLPA, Lajolo FM, Cordenunsi BR (1999). Metabolismo de carboidratosdurante o amadurecimento do mamao (*Carica papaya* L. cv. Solo): influéncia da radiação gama. Ciéncia e Tecnologia de Alimentos 19:246– 252.
- Guerreiro AC, Gago CML, Faleiro ML, Miguel MGC, Antunes MDC (2015). The use of polysaccharide-based edible coatings enriched with essential oils to improve shelflife of strawberries. Postharvest Biology and Technology 110:51–60.
- Hernandez-Munoz P, Almenar E, del Valle V, Gavara R (2008). Effect of chitosan coating combined with postharvest calcium treatment on strawberry (*Fragaria* × *ananassa*) quality during refrigerated storage. Food Chemistry 110:428–435.
- Hernández-Muñoz P, Almenar E, Ocio MJ, Gavara R (2006). Effect of calcium dips and chitosan coatings on postharvest life of strawberries (*Fragaria × ananassa*). Postharvest Biology and Technology 39:247–253.
- Hong K, Xie J, Zhang L, Sun D, Gong D (2012). Effects of chitosan coating on postharvest life and quality of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) fruit during cold storage. Scientia Horticulturae 144:172–178.
- Islam MZ, Mele MA, Han SJ, Kim JY, Lee CI, Yoon JS, Yoon HS, Park JM, Kim IS, Choi KY, Kang HM (2017). Combined foliar spray of boron, calcium, and silicon can influence quality and shelf life of cherry tomato in modified atmosphere packaging. Protected Horticulture and Plant Factory 26:310–316.
- Joshi GD, Roy SK (1988). Influence of maturity, transport and cold storage on biochemical composition of Alphon-



so mango fruit. Journal of Moharastra Agricultural University 13(1):12-18.

- Kader AA (1992). Modified atmosphere during transport and storage. In Postharvest Technology and Quality of Strawberries. Journal of the American Society for Horticultural Science 114:629-634.
- Kader AA (1999). Fruit maturity, ripening, and quality relationships. Acta Horticulturae 485:203-208.
- Kallio H, Hakala M, Pelkkikangas AM, Lapvetelainen A (2000). Sugars and acids of strawberry varieties. European Food Research and Technology 212:81–85.
- Kirad KS, Barche S, Dash A, Sharma RK (2007). Responses of different packaging materials and chemicals on the shelf life of strawberry (*Fragaria* × *ananassa* Duch.) and correlation between different traits. Acta Horticulturae 746:89-95.
- Kittur FS, Saroja N, Tharanathan HRN (2001). Polysaccharide-based composite coating formulations for shelf-life extension of fresh banana and mango. European Food Research Technology 213:306–311.
- Li C, Kader AA (1989). Residual effects of controlled atmospheres on postharvest physiology and quality of strawberries. Journal of the American Society for Horticultural Science 114:629-634.
- Li H, Yu T (2001). Effect of chitosan on incidence of brown rot, quality and physiological attributes of postharvest peach fruit. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture 81:269–274.
- Lin D, Zhao Y (2007). Innovations in the development and application of edible coatings for fresh and minimally processed fruits and vegetables. Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety 6:59-75.
- Magazine N, Keserovic Z, Cabilovski R, Milic B, Doric M, Manojlovic M (2015). Modified atmosphere packaging of full ripe strawberries. Acta Horticulturae 1071:241-244.
- Makinen KK, Soderling E (1980). A quantitative study of mannitol, sorbitol, xylitol and xylose in wild berries and commercial fruits. Journal of Food Science 45:367–371.
- Marina S, Leonardo MP, Amelia CR, Roxana AV (2015). Prefreezing application of whey protein-based edible coating to maintain quality attributes of strawberries. International Journal of Food Science and Technology 50:605-611.
- Mass JL (1998). Compendium of strawberry diseases. Second edition. USDA, Beltsville, MD, USA. http://www.calstrawberry.com/en-us/Pest-Management/ Diseases
- Miller GI (1972). Use of dinitrosalicylic acid reagent for determination of reducing sugar. Analytical Chemistry 31:426.
- Mingchi L, Kojimo, T (2005). Study on fruit injury susceptibility of strawberry grown under different soil moisture to storage and transportation. Journal of Fruit Science 22:238-242.
- Mondal MF, Islam MS, Rashid MHA (2011). 'Effects of plant extracts on shelf life and postharvest diseases of papaya. Journal of the Bangladesh Society for Agricultural Science and Technology 8(3-4):93-96.
- Neeta BG, Pooja RP, Ranaba R (2013). Improvement of quality and shelf-life of strawberries with edible coatings enriched with chitosan. Postharvest Biology and Technology 85:185-195.
- Nunes MCN, Brecht JC, Morais AM, Sargent SA (2006). Physiochemical changes during strawberry development

in the field compared with those that occur in harvested fruits during storage. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture 1:180-190.

- Nur Fatimma A, Munirah MS, Sharifah Siti Maryam SAR, Najihah A, Nur Ain Izzati MZ (2018). Efficacy of *Allium sativum* extract as post-harvest treatment of fruit rots of mango. Plant Pathology & Quarantine 8(2):144–152.
- Panda AK, Goyal RK Godara AK, Sharma VK (2016). Effect of packaging materials on the shelf-life of strawberry cv. Sweet Charlie under room temperature storage. Journal of Applied and Natural Science 8(3):1290–1294.
- Pasquariello MS, Rega P, Migliozzi T, Capuano LR, Scortichini M, Petriccione M (2013). Effect of cold storage and shelf life on physiological and quality traits of early ripening pear cultivars. Scientia Horticulturae 162:341– 350.
- Peano C, Giuggioli NR, Girgenti V (2014). Effect of different packaging materials on postharvest quality of cv. Envie2 strawberry. International Food Research Journal 21(3):1165-1170.
- Peerzada RH, Mohd AD, Ali MW (2012). Effect of edible coating and gamma irradiation on inhibition of mould growth and quality retention of strawberry during refrigerated storage. International Journal of Food Science and Technology 47:2318-2324.
- Perkins-Veazie P (1995). Growth and ripening of strawberry fruit. Horticultural Reviews 17:267–297.
- Petriccione M, Mastrobuoni F, Pasquariello MS, Zampella L, Nobis E, Capriolo G, Scortichini M (2015). Effect of Chitosan Coating on the Postharvest Quality and Antioxidant Enzyme System Response of Strawberry Fruit during Cold Storage. Foods 4:501-523.
- Pillai CKS, Paul W, Sharma CP (2009). Chitin and chitosan polymers: chemistry, solubility and fiber formation. Progress in Polymer Science 34:641-678.
- Pineli LLO, Moretti CL, dos Santos MS, Campos AB, Brasileiro AV, Córdova AC, Chiarello MD (2001). Antioxidants and other chemical and physical characteristics of two strawberry cultivars at different ripeness stages. Journal of Food Composition and Analysis 24:11–16.
- Ranganna S (1994). Manual of Analysis of Fruit and Vegetable Products', Tata McGraw-Hill Pub. Co. Ltd., New Delhi 634.
- Rashid MHA, Borman BC, Hasna MK, Begum HA (2019). Effect of non-chemical treatments on postharvest diseases, shelf life and quality of papaya under two different maturity stages. Journal of Bangladesh Agricultural University 17(1):14-25.
- Rashid MHA, Grout BWW, Continella A, Mahmud TMM (2015). Low-dose gamma irradiation following hot water immersion of papaya (*Carica papaya* Linn.) fruits provides additional control of postharvest fungal infection to extend shelf life. Radiation Physics and Chemistry 110:77–81.
- Romanazzi G, Feliziani E, Bautista Banos S, Sivakumar D (2015). Shelf life extension of fresh fruit and vegetables by chitosan treatment. Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition.
- Seeram NP, Adams LS, Zhang Y, Lee R, Sand D, Scheuller HS, Heber D (2006). Blackberry, black raspberry, blueberry, cranberry, red raspberry, and strawberry extracts inhibit growth and stimulate apoptosis of human cancer cells in vitro. Journal of Agriculture and Food Chemistry 54:9329–9339.



- Sharmin MR, Islam MN, Alim MA (2015). Shelf-life enhancement of papaya with aloe vera gel coating at ambient temperature. Journal of Bangladesh Agricultural University 13(1):131-136.
- Shiekh RA, Malik MA, Al-Thabaiti SA, Shiekh MA (2013). Chitosan as a novel edible coating for fresh fruits. Food Science and Technology Research 19:139–155.
- Velickova E, Winkelhausen E, Kuzmanova S, Alves VD, Moldão-Martins M (2013). Impact of chitosan-beeswax edible coatings on the quality of fresh strawberries (*Fragaria ananassa* cv Camarosa) under commercial

storage conditions. LWT-Food Science and Technology 52:80–92.

- Wang SY, Gao H (2013). Effect of chitosan-based edible coating on antioxidants, antioxidant enzyme system, and postharvest fruit quality of strawberries (Fragaria × ananassa Duch.). LWT-Food Science and Technology 52:71–79.
- Zhu S, Zhou J (2007). Effect of NO on ethylene production in strawberry fruit during storage. Food Chemistry 100:1517–1522.